



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/660,848

09/12/2003

Ayman M. El-Khashab

068062.0165

7054

31625

7590

03/13/2008

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

PATENT DEPARTMENT

98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500

AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039

EXAMINER

CAMARGO, MARLY S.B.

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

4157

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/13/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Election/Restriction requirements, for the elected group I, including Claims 1 – 12 and also for the withdrawn groups II and III, which includes Claims 13 – 18, in the reply filed on 12/14/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that although the Examiner established the first criterion for a restriction, the Examiner has failed to establish the second criterion of showing a serious burden on the Examiner. This is found persuasive because rationale demonstrating "serious burden" has not been discussed previously. To remedy this deficiency, the restriction requirement is re-submitted with such discussion. Nevertheless, Examiner has reviewed the previous restriction requirement and concluded that inventions I, II and III are distinct for the rationale provided. Hence, point (A) of applicant's arguments has been satisfied. As for point (B) of applicant's argument, the discussion below will demonstrate a "serious burden" if all three inventions were prosecuted in the same application.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1-12, drawn to a data acquisition system, classified in class 348, subclass 211.99 or 712/26.

- II. Claim13-16, drawn to a data acquisition management system, classified in class 348, subclass 211.99 or class 709, subclasses 207 and 223 or class 707, subclass 234.
- III. Claim17-18, drawn to method/process of managing a data acquisition system, classified in class 348, subclass 211.99 or class 709 subclasses 207 and 223.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention II, a data acquisition management system can qualify as any managing system without the particularities of invention I. Furthermore, the invention I does not need any technical management to be fully operational. The subcombination has separate utility such as for a camera taking pictures or a scanner going through a document.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in

accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In the instant case, invention III can be used in any data acquisition system. Invention II as written above can qualify to be used as a management system for any data acquisition equipment such as a printer, a scanner, etc.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARLY CAMARGO whose telephone number is (571)270-3729. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00AM - 10PM, M-F, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vu Le can be reached on (571)272-7332. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4157

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marly Camargo/
Patent Examiner
AU 4157 DKS 3015
Phone: (571) 270-3729
e-mail: marly.camargo@uspto.gov

/Vu Le/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4157